Undergraduate Assessment, 2012-18

The learning goals for five of SENR's majors are listed below (see Table Y for the learning goals for the EEDS major, which differ slightly). These learning objectives are assessed with between three and six learning outcomes. The values provided in the table are the percentages of students meeting the aspirational and minimum levels for each learning goal for the years 2012 – 2018. The values for each of the learning goals are themselves averages of the percentage of students meeting the aspirational or minimum levels for the learning outcomes measured for each learning goal.

YEAR	MAJOR			
2012-2018	Envt'l Science	Forestry, Fisheries & Wildlife	Natural Res. Mgmt	Envt'l Policy & Dec. Making
LG 1: Have the ability to	think critically in s	solving problems addre	ssed in / related to (t	opic of major)
% Met Aspirational	61%	55%	55%	61%
% Met Minimum	33%	38%	38%	33%
LG 2: Know how to appl resource issues related t	•	•	ntemporary environme	ntal and natural
% Met Aspirational	50%	43%	44%	50%
% Met Minimum	35%	40%	41%	36%
LG 3: Communicate effe % Met Aspirational % Met Minimum	70% 28%	written forms 63% 34%	64% 33%	72% 26%
LG 4: Understand natureMet Aspirational**Met Minimum	34% 49%	34% 50%	35% 50%	39% 47%
organization % Met Aspirational	32%	32%	32%	31%
% Met Minimum LG 6: Understand couple decision making	32% 55% ed systems, human	32% 55% and natural, and their	32% 55% r relevance for environ	31% 55% mental policy and
organization % Met Aspirational % Met Minimum LG 6: Understand coupled decision making % Met Aspirational	32% 55% ed systems, human	32% 55% and natural, and their	32% 55% relevance for environi	31% 55% mental policy and 65%
% Met Aspirational % Met Minimum **LG 6: Understand coupled decision making	32% 55% ed systems, human 68% 31%	32% 55% and natural, and their 44% 51%	32% 55% r relevance for environs 48% 46%	31% 55% mental policy and 65% 33%
organization % Met Aspirational % Met Minimum LG 6: Understand coupled decision making % Met Aspirational	32% 55% ed systems, human 68% 31%	32% 55% and natural, and their 44% 51%	32% 55% r relevance for environs 48% 46%	31% 55% mental policy and 65% 33%

Table Y. The learning goals for the EEDS major are listed below. These learning objectives are assessed with between three and five learning outcomes. The values provided in the table are the percentages of students meeting the aspirational and minimum levels for each learning goal for the years 2012 – 2018. The values for each of the learning goals are themselves averages of the percentage of students meeting the aspirational or minimum levels for the learning outcomes measured for each learning goal.

YEAR	MAJOR
2012 - 2018	Envt, Econ., Dev. & Sustainability
LG 1: Have the ability to think critic sustainability, economy, developme	ally when addressing issues and problems related to environmental ent and society
% Met Aspirational	59%
% Met Minimum	34%
sociology, community development	from environmental, resource, community and regional economics, rural and planning to address contemporary issues and policies of sustainable s, communities and public organizations
% Met Aspirational	49%
% Met Minimum	36%
technical, economic and social sustained with the social sustained wit	antitative methods from economics, sociology and engineering to assess the ainability of policies and projects 71%
% Met Minimum	29%
LG 4: Communicate effectively in or % Met Aspirational	70%
% Met Minimum	27%
LG 5: Understand natural systems of	as a basis for assessing solutions to environmental problems
% Met Aspirational	38%
% Met Minimum	47%
	cial systems with breadth across individual, community, and polity levels of in community level systems and processes
% Met Aspirational	32%
% Met Minimum	54%
•	nce between human and natural systems and the implications of this social well-being and governance at local, regional, and global scales
% Met Aspirational	85%
% Met Minimum	14%

LG 8: Manifest professional competency for career-track employment or graduate work related to sustainable development and sustainability management

% Met Aspirational	69%
% Met Minimum	24%