# WILDLIFE CONSERVATION POLICY

**Course number:** ENR 5649  
**Credits:** 3  
**Semester taught:** Spring  
**Class Times:** T/Th 11:10 AM to 12:30 PM  
**Location:** Howlett Hall, 0164  

**Instructors:** Dr. Gabriel R. Karns, Visiting Assistant Professor  
**TA:** Sara Adamczak  

**Telephone:** Dr. Karns: (614) 292-3865  
**E-mail:** karns.36@osu.edu | TA: adamczak.3@osu.edu  

**Office:** Dr. Karns: Kottman Hall, 371B  
**Office hours:** By appointment, or please drop-in whenever my door is open  


**Online resources:** All other materials for this course will either be made available via the course web page on Carmen: [https://carmen.osu.edu/](https://carmen.osu.edu/) or distributed in class. NOTE⇒ Please check the course page regularly for updates.  

**Brief overview:**  
The course is divided into three parts: Part I details the conditions in the U.S. that brought about the regulation of trade in and the taking of fish and wildlife and discusses how federal statutes, case law and treaty-making powers have contributed to the conservation of fish and wildlife; Part II focuses on the so-called “human dimensions” of wildlife management, especially the integration of the biophysical and social science information in wildlife-related decision-making; and Part III explores contemporary issues in fish and wildlife conservation, including the protection of endangered species, the regulation of invasive and exotic species, the control of so-called “nuisance” species and—more generally—the controversial nature of wildlife management  

**Course goals:**  

*This course will:*  

1. provide students with an overview of fish and wildlife conservation policy under the North American Model of wildlife conservation,  
2. promote critical thinking concerning key, contemporary issues in fish and wildlife management, and  
3. promote critical thinking concerning how the social and biological sciences inform policy decisions.
Learning objectives:

Students will identify and/or describe:

1) the major federal statutes and international treaties involved in the conservation of fish and wildlife conservation in the United States,

2) provisions of the constitution that grant for government regulation of fish and wildlife,

3) key cases (case law) that have affected the course of fish and wildlife conservation AND how these cases have affected fish and wildlife conservation,

4) a minimum of 5 contemporary issues in fish and wildlife management AND articulate why these issues are important to ongoing conservation efforts,

4) the core components of the North American model of wildlife management,

5) the “public trust doctrine”, and its application to wildlife,

6) the process of listing and recovering threatened and endangered species under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973, and

7) the federal agencies involved in fish and wildlife management.

Finally, students will be able to

8) articulate a clear, well-reasoned position on a contemporary wildlife issue,

9) articulate a position on the appropriate role of biological and social sciences in wildlife management.

Methods of instruction:

In this course I will use a variety of methods including (but not limited to) lecture, group discussions, and other in-class assignments.

Course Grading:

Grades are determined by the percentage of points students earn such that:

\[
\begin{align*}
A &= 93\% \text{ or greater} \\
A- &= 90 - 92 \% \\
B+ &= 87 - 89 \% \\
B &= 83 - 86 \% \\
B- &= 80 - 82 \% \\
C+ &= 77 - 79 \% \\
C &= 73 - 76 \% \\
C- &= 70 - 72 \% \\
D+ &= 67 - 69 \% \\
D &= 63 - 66 \% \\
D- &= 60 - 62 \% \\
\text{Fail} &= \text{Less than } 60\%
\end{align*}
\]

Opportunities to earn points:

Examinations (65% of total grade)

Three examinations will be given, two midterms and a final (see schedule for exam dates). Any information made available to you via class presentations, readings, or handouts could appear on exams. Each midterm exam will be worth 20% of your grade for the course, while the final exam is worth 25%. Note: The final examination will be cumulative.

In-Class Worksheets/Participation (10% of total grade)

Throughout the semester we will distribute in-class worksheets designed to stimulate conversation about the content presented, and provide students with examples of likely test questions (Hint: A great way to review for course exams is to review these worksheets!).
the beginning of the semester, students will be divided into groups; students are expected to work on these worksheets in their groups. Periodically, we will collect worksheets from each group and students will be allotted points based upon the adequacy of their responses.

**Writing Assignment—Position Paper (25% of total grade)**

Each student group (or graduate student) will develop a paper that takes a strong, authoritative stand on a wildlife related issue. Whether you plan on working for a state or federal agency or an NGO/interest group, it is likely that you will be called upon at some point in your career to draft a formal position statement on a particular issue—the purpose of the position paper is to provide students with the opportunity to prepare such a document and gain an in-depth understanding of a contemporary fish or wildlife management issue. A successful position paper will (a) describe a contemporary issue in wildlife management, (b) discuss why the issue is important, (c) take a strong, authoritative stand on some aspects of this issue, and (c) make specific policy recommendations.

The position paper is divided into four parts that will be turned in over the course of the semester:

**Assignment 1 (5% of grade):** Issue description. For assignment 1, each group must turn in the following items:

1. Turn in a proposed or existing rule, regulation, or law to which your group objects. Note: All state and federal policy related to wildlife is fair game (no pun intended), but you must turn in a document that describes the policy you plan to write about.
2. A brief, (~350 word) description of what your group finds objectionable about the policy. Specifically, you must point to some aspect of the policy that you disagree with, and briefly explain why. Note: You might disagree for a variety of reasons (e.g., policy conflicts with existing precedent, policy creates perverse incentives); the point of the assignment is to provide a clear rationale as to why the policy is inadequate/bad/problematic.

**Assignment 2 (5% of grade):** Sources. For assignment 2, each group must turn in an annotated bibliography identifying 5 sources that relate to the policy your group is writing about. Each source must be accompanied by a brief (~150-200 word) summary of each article and why it is relevant to the policy/issue you have chosen. Sources can be laws, scientific papers, reports, books, or legal decisions. Each source must include a proper citation (we're flexible on style). Examples:


- **Case:** Proper case citations include three components (1) the case name which identifies the litigants (e.g., Defenders of Wildlife v. Norton), (2) the
Volume Source and Page (e.g., case found in the Federal Supplement volume 627, on page 418 is reported as “627 F. Supp. 418”; a case found in the U.S. Reports, volume 347, page 483 is reported as “347 U.S. Rep. 483”), and (3) the court and date of decision (e.g. a case decided in the 10th Circuit Court of Appeals in 1988 can be abbreviated as “(10th Cir. 1988).

Format: Name v. Name, Volume Source Page (Court Date).

Assignment 3 (5% of grade): Outline of proposed statement. For assignment 3, each group must turn in an outline of the position paper that delineates (a) A broad description of the issue/problem that the group is taking a position on; (b) A clear description of the policy that the group finds objectionable; (c) A statement concerning why the policy is objectionable (statement of fault); and (d) A proposed remedy. Assignment three is to be in draft form only (short descriptions) and should not exceed 800 words (without footnotes or references).

Assignment 4 (10% of grade). Turn in final paper. [Specific guidance on the final paper will be provided in class.]

Academic Misconduct:

The submission of plagiarized work to meet academic requirements shall be grounds for charges of academic misconduct. Plagiarism includes: (1) the representation of another’s works or ideas as your own; (2) the unacknowledged use and/or paraphrasing of another person’s work; or (3) falsification, fabrication, or dishonesty in reporting research results.

Availability of accommodations:

If you need an accommodation based on the impact of a disability, you should contact the instructor to arrange an appointment as soon as possible. At the appointment we can discuss the course format, anticipate your needs and explore potential accommodations. I will rely on the Office For Disability Services for assistance in verifying the need for accommodations and developing accommodation strategies. If you have not previously contacted the Office for Disability Services, I encourage you to do so as soon as possible.